

Serial No.: 09/976,651

7

**RECEIVED**  
**CENTRAL FAX CENTER**

PD-201032

**JUL 11 2006****REMARKS**

Applicants wish to thank the Examiner for considering the present application. In the Office Action dated June 5, 2006, claims 1-26 stand pending in the application. Claims 2, 6-8, 10, 11, 15-17, 19 and 23-26 are allowable if rewritten in independent form. Applicants respectfully request the Examiner to reconsider the rejections of the claims.

Claims 1, 3, 9, 12, 18 and 20 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by Gordon (U.S. Pat. 6,510,554). Applicants respectfully traverse. For a proper Section 102(b) rejection, each and every element of the claims must be found in the reference.

Claims 1, 9 and 18 are independent claims. Claim 1 recites "parsing a payload portion of a particular one of said plurality of received packets to identify the picture coding type in the payload portion". Claim 9 is directed to a transport processor but it has a means for performing the similar step of claim 1. Claim 18 recites "wherein said transport processor parses a payload portion of a particular one of said plurality of received packets to identify the picture coding type in the payload portion".

For this last step, the Examiner points to column 8, lines 66-column 9, line 6. Applicants respectfully submit that the Gordon reference does not teach or suggest that the packets are in the payload portion. The Examiner is directed to the second full paragraph of the detailed description of the present application (which, in the publication of the application, is paragraph [0025]). This section clearly defines the DSS transport protocol has a 130-byte packet containing a pre-fixed and a transport block. The first two bytes of the 130-byte long packet are used for the prefix, the third byte contains four bits for the continuity counter and four bits for a Header Designator while the remaining

Serial No.: 09/976,651

8

PD-201032

127 bytes carry the payload. Thus, it is clear that the payload is beyond the prefix and header portions. Column 8, line 66-column 9, line 6 of the Gordon reference clearly state that the picture header of a video stream has the IP&B type associated therewith. Thus, it is clear from these statements that the header is used for identifying and not the payload portion as is set forth in the present application. Therefore, Applicants respectfully submit that the Gordon reference does not teach or suggest using the payload portion for identifying the picture coding type.

Likewise, claims 3, 12 and 20 depend from their base claims and are also allowable for the same reasons set forth above.

Claims 4, 13 and 21 stand rejected as being unpatentable over Gordon in view of Nagata (U.S. Pat. 5,974,224) in further view of Chauvel (U.S. Pat. 6,369,855). Applicants respectfully traverse. As mentioned above, the Gordon reference does not teach or suggest the use of the payload portion for identifying the picture coding type. Neither the Nagata nor the Chauvel references teach this as well. Therefore, Applicants respectfully request the Examiner to reconsider this rejection as well.

Claims 5, 14 and 22 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gordon in view of Fuginami (EP 0 910 087). As mentioned above, the Gordon reference also has deficiencies. The Fuginami reference does not teach or suggest those deficiencies. Applicants, therefore, respectfully request the Examiner to reconsider this rejection as well.

Serial No.: 09/976,651

9

PD-201032

**CONCLUSION**

In light of the remarks above, Applicants submit that all objections and rejections are now overcome. The application is now in condition for allowance and expeditious notice thereof is earnestly solicited. Should the Examiner have any questions or comments, the Examiner is respectfully requested to contact the undersigned attorney.

Should any fees be associated with this submission, please charge Deposit Account 50-0383.

Respectfully submitted,

By: 

Georgann S. Grunebach, Reg. No. 33,179  
Attorney for Applicants

Dated: July 11, 2006

The DIRECTV Group, Inc.  
2230 East Imperial Highway  
P.O. Box 956  
El Segundo, CA 90245

Telephone: (310) 964-4615